Case 5:17-cv-06734-LHK Document 190 Filed 06/14/19 Page 1 of 3

Case 5:17-cv-06734-LHK Document 184 Filed 06/14/19 Page 1 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION JEFFERY DEAN BLACK, Case No. 17-CV-06734-LHK Northern District of California United States District Court Plaintiff, **VERDICT FORM** ٧. IRVING MATERIALS, INC., Defendant. Dated: June 14, 2019 United States District Judge

Case No. 17-CV-06734-LHK VERDICT FORM

Case 5:17-cv-06734-LHK Document 190 Filed 06/14/19 Page 2 of 3

Case 5:17-cv-06734-LHK Document 184 Filed 06/14/19 Page 2 of 3

| Northern District of California | 1 | We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them und the instructions of this Court as our verdict in this case. | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 2 | | | | | |
| | 3 | MR. BLACK'S CLAIM FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF (15 U.S.C. §§ 1114(2)(D)(V), 1125(D)(1)(B)(II)) | | | | |
| | 5 | <u>Question 1</u> : Has Mr. Black proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Mr. Black did not violate the Anti-Cybersquatting Consumer Protection Act? | | | | |
| | 6 | | | | | |
| | 7 | Yes / No | | | | |
| | 8 | Proceed to Question 2. | | | | |
| | 9 | IRVING MATERIAL INC.'S CLAIM FOR VIOLATION OF THE ANTI | | | | |
| | 10 | CYBERSQUATTING CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT | | | | |
| | 11 | <u>Question 2</u> : Has Irving Materials Inc. proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Mr. Black violated the Anti-Cybersquatting Consumer Protection Act? | | | | |
| | 12 | | | | | |
| | 13 | Yes No | | | | |
| | 14 | If you checked "Yes" for Question 2, proceed to Question 3. If you checked "No," do not answer the remaining questions, sign the verdict form, and notify the Courtroom Deputy. | | | | |
| | 15 | the remaining questions, sign the vertice form, and notify the countries. 2 of my | | | | |
| | 16 | MR. BLACK'S SAFE HARBOR DEFENSE | | | | |
| | 17 | Question 3: Has Mr. Black proven by a preponderance of the evidence the Safe Harbor defense? | | | | |
| ž | 18 | | | | | |
| | 19 | Yes No | | | | |
| | 20 | Proceed to Question 4. | | | | |
| | 21 | | | | | |
| | 22 | MR. BLACK'S LACHES DEFENSE | | | | |
| | 23 | Question 4: Has Mr. Black proven by a preponderance of the evidence the laches defense? | | | | |
| | 24 | Yes No | | | | |
| | 25 | | | | | |
| | 26 | If you checked "Yes" for Questions 3 and/or 4, then do not answer Question 5, sign the verdict form, and notify the Courtroom Deputy. If you checked "No" on Questions 3 and 4, then proce | | | | |
| | 27 | to Question 5. | | | | |
| | 28 | 2 | | | | |
| | | Case No. 17-CV-06734-LHK VERDICT FORM | | | | |

Case 5:17-cv-06734-LHK Document 190 Filed 06/14/19 Page 3 of 3

Case 5:17-cv-06734-LHK Document 184 Filed 06/14/19 Page 3 of 3

DAMAGES

| Answer Question 5 only if you: (1) checked | "Yes" | to Question 2, | and (2) | checked | "No" to | |
|--|-------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| Questions 1, 3 and 4. | | | | | | |

Question 5: If Irving Materials Inc. is entitled to statutory damages, then in what amount?

Presiding Jurgr

United States District Court Northern District of California